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Reviewer's report:

The authors have responded comprehensively to all the reviewers' comments and suggestions and I found the revised paper overall much clearer.

minor points:
1. abstract - participants are described as '39 relatives of patients being tested for FH' - bit confusing, consider rephrasing?
2. page 4 sentence 'for example, it has been suggested that patients typically feel more involved...' doesn't seem to follow from the previous sentences.
2. page 17, last data quote ('not since the bypass...') needs more context
3. page 22, typo? 'But' should be 'By'?
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