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**Reviewer’s report:**

Comments:
1. This manuscript is not clearly written. Failed to present what they have done precisely and briefly.

For example, this study included seven affected individuals from three unrelated Saudi Arabian families (Table 1). The study was approved by the institute IRB and all participants signed an informed consent. The study adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki.

You can put them together in another order to make it brief, like this:

This Study was approved by the institute of IRB and adhered to the tenets of the declaration of Helsinki. 7 participants from unrelated Saudi Arabian (table 1) were enrolled and all signed the informed consent.

2. Misusing the sub-title, did not understand the organization of the paper.

For Example, under “Method”, one sub-title “Study participants” is listed but you put everything under it. You can either put everything together under “Method” or list variant sub-title for each part.

Suggestion: To help reduce the possibility of such problems, we strongly encourage the author to take at least one of the following steps:

1. Have your manuscript reviewed for clarity by a colleague whose native language is English.
2. Use one of the many English language editing services that are available.

**Level of interest:** An article of limited interest

**Quality of written English:** Needs some language corrections before being published

**Statistical review:** No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.