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Reviewer's report:

General

Dr. Delaney's revisions are well-worded and complete. I have no more significant concerns regarding his manuscript.

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

Page 2: Dr. Delaney mentions that the four studies examining antihistamines all used “ionic” contrast agents. On page 3, his conclusion is that anti-histamines use should be considered for prophylaxis prior to the administration of contrast. Should this be “ionic” contrast? This distinction was made clearly in the discussion on page 12, where the authors note that the antihistamine data favor use prior to “ionic” contrast while the corticosteroid data favor use prior to both “ionic” and “non-ionic” contrast.

What next?: Accept after discretionary revisions

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No
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