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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear Editor

Unfortunately, I uploaded an incorrect first submission of the manuscript. I have now uploaded a revised version, with the following two corrections:

On page 8, second paragraph, sentence 2 previously read: "Since the expert (reader A) participated in the combined readings, we could assess the incremental mental value og this study we daings unedaer". This text made no sense and have been removed.

On page 11, first paragraph, the second last sentence previously read: "In this study, a moderately experienced second reader improved reliability compared to a single expert reader." It has been changed from "improved reliability" to "improved the reliability".

Sincerely

Ansgar Espeland