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Reviewer's report:

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

Results
Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) and Computed Tomography (CT)
Page 11: Please state why the quality of the radiological examinations was rated suboptimal in two patients.
Remaining issue: This was explained in the cover letter but not in the manuscript. Please add.

Page 12: Sensitivity for Crohn’s disease was extremely low in this series. Only six out of 47 patients were correctly diagnosed in CT and MRI. What is the reason for this very low sensitivity? Did the authors only look for active disease? The authors should provide the criteria they used for the detection of Crohn’s disease in CT and MRI.
Remaining issue: The authors need to provide the criteria used for the diagnosis of Crohn’s disease.

Video Capsule Enteroscopy (VCE)
In this paragraph, the authors compare the results of MRI and VCE. However, I am missing such a comparison for CT and VCE.
Remaining issue: The authors state in their cover letter that only one patient had VCE and CT. That should explicitly stated in the text.

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)
None remaining

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)
None remaining

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests
**Quality of written English:** Needs some language corrections before being published

**Statistical review:** Yes, but I do not feel adequately qualified to assess the statistics.
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