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Reviewer's report:

I think a lot has been written about this topic. Nevertheless the authors present a relatively large series and this makes the paper of relative importance. It is not bad to emphasize that ultrasound should be performed for foreign bodies because in many institutions emergency radiologists still rely on plain films which is insufficient. Some groups have tried to remove the bodies under ultrasound guidance although this is still partly experimental but it could be mentioned. The main problem with the manuscript is that it contains continuous errors in English too many to correct separately. The authors should use an English translator to rewrite the manuscript before resubmission. Some portions of background, results and discussion also repeat themselves and this should be corrected. Otherwise the manuscript could be considered for publication.

Also refer to the article from Jacobson JA about the size of wooden foreign bodies that can be detected.

Also refer to the article of Dumarey A that evaluates the insufficient role of CT compared to ultrasound.