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Author's response to reviews:

Dear Editor,

We are glad that our paper entitled “Detecting imipenem resistance in Acinetobacter baumannii by automated systems (BD Phoenix, Microscan WalkAway, Vitek 2); high error rates with Microscan WalkAway” was considered for publication.

As there have been no further criticisms of our manuscript, we would like present our manuscript with a point-by-point response to the concerns as follows:

We finally checked the manuscript for typing errors.

We also checked that our manuscript conforms to the journal style.

A 'Competing interests' section was included between the Conclusions and Authors' contributions. As there are none to declare, 'The authors declare that they have no competing interests' was added.

We are very grateful for your comments on our manuscript. In the hope that this finally checked paper would meet your expectations.

I would kindly ask for considering the acceptance of our manuscript for publication in very near future. As this manuscript actually focuses on a current and serious problem in laboratories, I would be grateful if it will be published online very soon. I would also request for information about the probable publishing time that is very critical for me.

Yours sincerely,

Dr. Canan Kulah, MD