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BMC infectious diseases Editor  
BioMed Central Ltd.,  
Middlesex House, 34-42 Cleveland Street, London W1T 4LB, UK

Dear Editor (Sir),

We submit the 2nd revised manuscript (MS number is 1600596070258814) to the BMC Infectious Diseases. The point-by-point responses to the concerns follow as next page. We are looking forward to hearing from you soon.

Sincerely,

Bo-Yeong Choi (Ms. Researcher)  
Division of Arboviruses  
Center for Immunology & Pathology  
National Institute of Health (KCDC)  
122-701, South KOREA  
Tel +82-2-380-2176  
Fax +82-2-380-1499  
e-mail: wishwing81@naver.com

Another contact author  
Su-Yeon KIM (Ph D)-second author  
e-mail: tenksy@nih.go.kr  
Tel +82-2-380-2173  
Fax +82-2-380-1499
Itemized list of our specific responses to each of the reviewer’s comments and request (2\textsuperscript{nd}).

(In red)

Manuscript ID: 1600596070258814
Title: Mutations at codon 178, 200-129, and 232 contributed to the inherited prion diseases in Korean patients

1. In the DWI image of the M232R patient I still see high signal in the occipital area. What is that?
   - Our authors (Neurologists) considerably reviewed and analyzed all pictures and information to explanation Figure 3. That of high signal in the occipital area is a definitely lesion. Therefore, we revised the manuscript and added an arrow on the picture.

2. The EEG of case 2 is not typical for CJD.
   - Our authors considered the possible clinical view of CJD but not typical in the EEG of case 2, so we revised the explanation in the manuscript. (Unfortunately, it is difficult that we get better file than that because the doctor moved to a local hospital recently and another data doesn’t remain.)

3. The discussion has not been fully revised.
   - We reviewed considerably again and revised some repeated parts.