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Reviewer's report:

I find that the authors have responded well and satisfactorily to my comments. I have no further major compulsory revisions or minor essential revisions.

A few suggestions for discretionary revisions:

page 11: Suggest identical writing of (OR XX, CI xx-xx, p=xx). Now several variations are given on page 11 (ok at bottom lines). On line 4-5, Odds Ratio and 95% Confidence interval are spelled out. Could not those "definitions" be given in statistics section? ...results given as Odds Ratio (OR) and 95% Confidence Interval (CI)

page 13, line 17, were recommended , not recommend

page 14, last two lines: Suggest: The majority (36 of 59) deep venous thrombosis was septic, constituting 10.5% of hospitalizations. These results.....

What next?: Accept after discretionary revisions

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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