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Reviewer's report:

â‡¢ Discretionary Revisions (which are recommendations for improvement but which the author can choose to ignore)

No comments.

â‡¢ Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

Results
1) Page 4, first paragraph, line 7: Change the word less with fewer
2) Page 4, paragraph second, lines 4 â## 6: There meaning conveyed is not clear with respect to articles with international collaboration especially line 6 from 1980.
3) Page 4, paragraph third, first line: Change the line to: The median number of authors was 4 (range 1 â## 27).
4) Page 4, paragraph third, line 3: change less to fewer.
5) Page 4, paragraph third, line 4: delete word â##moreâ##
6) Page 5, paragraph second, line 6: Percentage of USA contribution is listed as 30.8% while in Table 5 the percentage is 23.7%. Please clarify.

Discussion
1) Page 6, paragraph first, first line: Change to: HIV/AIDS research for overâ#; 
2) Page 6, paragraph first, line 2: delete article â##aâ## at the end of the line.
3) Page 6, paragraph first, line 4: Change to: However, no records were found for the period between 1980 and 1986. This finding is consistent with data from â##anotherâ## study that has examined â#;
4) Page 6, last line: Delete â##from Haitiâ## since is listed twice.
5) Page 7, line previous to last line: Research has â##helpedâ## quantify HIVâ#;

Tables
1) Table 3: Separate Total with a line as in Table 2.
2) Table 4: Add a line with Total at the end of the Table. Clarify whether percentages add over 100%.
3) Table 5: Add a line with Total at the end of the Table. There is a discrepancy
between the percentage listed on the Table for USA (23.7) and the one listed in the text (30.8).

4) Table 6: Add a line with Total at the end of the Table. There is a discrepancy between the absolute number of publications with USA contribution adding up in Table 6 (63) and the absolute number listed in Table 5 (61).

5) Table 7: Add a line with Total at the end of the Table. Clarify with a footnote under Table 7 whether more than one subject areas were counted for each article.

Major Compulsory Revisions (which the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

No comments.

What next?: Accept after minor essential revisions

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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