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Reviewer's report:

General

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

The authors do not discuss the lack of power due to the very low number (32) of T.gondii positive subjects. This was a comment to the first version of the paper and it is not mentioned at all in this version.

I feel it is an important point because some well known risk factors reach almost statistical significance, and it need to be emphasised that with a larger sample size of T. gondii positive subjects the power of the study would have been better. Thus the study do not rule out meat as an important risk factor simply because of a low power, and this must be discussed.

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

What next?: Unable to decide on acceptance or rejection until the authors have responded to the major compulsory revisions

Level of interest: An article of limited interest

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: Yes, and I have assessed the statistics in my report.
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