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**Reviewer’s report:**

**General**
I consider that the manuscript "Serological disease status of Pakistani population infected with Hepatitis B" is well structured, the methodology is appropriated and well described but not in relation to population data. I suggest that it could be better described. For example, how the population was recruited? The sample size is representative?
The issue is important considering the virus, disease and prevention. On the other hand it is not a new question and several similar research was performed in the country. In this way although the data don't be new I suggest that the article could be a short communication and the questions above formulated be answered.

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

**What next?:** Accept after minor essential revisions

**Level of interest:** An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

**Quality of written English:** Acceptable

**Statistical review:** No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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