Dear Editor,

Thanks for reviewing our manuscript again. We have made the following changes:
1. Degrees were omitted from the names of the authors.
2. For the sentence in the abstract that we were asked to review, the important point is that the sylvatic form of echinococcal disease is likely less severe than the pastoral form, and both are likely less severe than disease due Echinococcus multilocularis. The initial version the the abstract that we submitted in January read: "Most cases of echinococcal disease (ED) acquired in Canada are thought to be due to the sylvatic form of Echinococcus granulosus, which appears to be more benign than ED due to Echinococcus multilocularis". At the suggestion of the reviewer in April, we changed it to "Most cases of echinococcal disease (ED) acquired in Canada are thought to be due to the sylvatic form of Echinococcus granulosus, which may be more benign than ED due to the pastoral form of E. granulosus". I've now changed it to state that the sylvatic form appears to be more benign than either E. multilocularis or the pastoral form or E. granulosis. If I have misunderstood this request, please let me know and I will review it again.
3. We omitted the acknowledgments as there was no funding for this study.

Please let me know if further changes are required.

Yours sincerely,

Joan Robinson