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To the Editor,
Thank you for accepting our article. It is a privilege to get our article accepted and published in your esteemed journal.
Thank you for your comments. I have tried my best to improve the language of the article. I have followed the suggestions of the two learned reviewers and I hope that it has improved the language a lot. As you have suggested submitting the article to a copy-editor for betterment of the presentation, I wish to let you know humbly that it was not possible for us. We do not have any financial support and all our research work, correspondence and cost of publication – everything is done by us only. We are sorry to say that we would be very happy if we could consult any copy-editor. I hope that you will understand our shortcomings.
I also hope that our effort this time will satisfy you and you will accept the article for publication.

To Mr. Hendrik Simon S Scaaf
Reviewer
Dear sir,
Thank you for spending your valuable time to go through our article and to make all necessary corrections and modifications. We followed your suggestion and modified our article accordingly. It has definitely made the presentation smoother and better. The language as a whole was improved a lot. I hope that you will find our submission suitable for publication this time.

Answers to Reviewer’s report:

All the modified portions are colored BLUE and the new addition RED.

Abstract:
It has been modified thoroughly according to your suggestion. Thank you for your effort.
Background:
1. Corrected.
2. Corrected.
3. Corrected.
4. Corrected.

Case Report:
1. Breaks in paragraph made.
2. Corrected.
3. Corrected.
4. Corrected.
5. Replaced with the modified version, as suggested.
6. Corrected.
7. New paragraph started.
8. Corrected.
9. Modified as suggested.

Discussion:
1. Corrected.
2. Corrected.
3. Corrected.
4. Corrected.
5. Corrected.
6. Corrected.
7. Corrected.
8. Corrected.
10. The patient was further followed up for another 16 months. This is included this time in Case Report.
Conclusion

We agree with your suggestion. The conclusion has been modified thoroughly according to your suggestion. Thank you for your effort.