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Switch the order of Results and Methods in the Abstract

Page 4, line 10: the function of "Although" is unclear in the sentence, please rewrite sentence
Page 5: a societal perspective is not in line with only focussing on direct costs, typical for societal would be to include indirect
Page 5: clarify "based on survey responses from principal investigators", is this reliable anyhow?
Page 6: please explain bit on nature of non-condom use interventions, can they be assumed without any effect on condom use (I know you mention it in the discussion, hut some info here would be helpful)?
Page 7: give rationale for variation chosen in scenarios
Page 11: why were figures not formally re-calculated in per QALY gained? It would be clearer
Page 14: "our estimates will understate the incremental cost-effectiveness"

I could not find the reference in the text to the figure
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