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Dr. Harriet Mayanja

Editor

Re: Manuscript # 1555600346102912 - *Tuberculosis burden in China: A high prevalence of pulmonary tuberculosis in household contacts with and without symptoms*

Dear Dr. Mayanja:

Many thanks for all help from the editors and reviewers on our paper “*Tuberculosis burden in China: A high prevalence of pulmonary tuberculosis in household contacts with and without symptoms*”, which is closed to a better article. We replied all comments point by point in cover letter. The comments made to us are in plain text, and our replies are in bold. The principal changes in the main text were highlighted by tracked editing. Many thanks again for all support for our work.

**Editorial Requirement**

Thank you for submitting your revised manuscript. However, there are a number of minor issues that need to be addressed:

**Many thanks for the encouraging note.**

1) Abstract, lines 43-44, "...the prevalence of pulmonary tuberculosis among the household contacts was 10 and 40 times higher than the respective notification rates in 2008." This sentence does not make sense and is not concordant with the results, please revise
Thanks, we edited the sentence in result section of abstract.

2) Methods, line 119, should be "For a diagnosis of smear-positive tuberculosis" (the word smear is omitted)

Thank you very much for your carefulness, we corrected in revision.

3) Methods, line 128 PPD>20 mm (not cm)

Many thanks for careful reminding, we corrected that in revision.

4) In Table 2, I would present both unadjusted and adjusted odds ratios

Thanks, I put both in revised Table 2.