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Reviewer's report:

Discretionary Revisions
1. Title:
"...pregnant antenatal..." use of both of these words may not be necessary.
2. Introduction:
Please check if reference 2 is correctly referenced for the second sentence of the first paragraph.
3. Results:
Paragraph 2: the percentages listed in the text are different to the percentages listed in Table 1.
4. Discussion:
Paragraph 2, last sentence: "it is worth noting that these studies..." which studies are being referred to when comparing tetracycline resistance rates?
Paragraph 6: "The detection of mycoplasmas with conventional culture..." may be more appropriate in the Introduction?
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