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Dear M. Harris,

We are pleased to send a new revised version concerning our manuscript entitled ‘The influenza A (H1N1) pandemic in Reunion Island: knowledge, perceived risk and precautionary behaviour’. In this letter we give a point-by-point response (yellow) to the very minor final comments (green) of one reviewer 2 (reviewer 1 did not make any new comments). The revised manuscript has included all minor changes made as a result of these final comments.

We hope that the manuscript is now suitable for publication in BMC Infectious Diseases.

Yours sincerely,

Please note that all coauthors have approved the new version submitted herewith.

Prof. François Taglioni
CRVOI
Reunion Island
Revisions requested by Prof. Marloes Bults

Dear Prof. Bults

We like to thank you for your very minor final comments. We have made the last corrections as requested and we hope that it will suit you.

Sincerely Yours,

Francois Taglioni

Minor/discretionary comments

Comment: The authors stated that the use of 2 decimal is usual and in accordance to BMC guidelines. However, use of 2 decimal is not consistent described in the manuscript (for example results section) and tables, sometimes only 1 decimal is described. For example, result section under heading 'perceived severity and vulnerability' 1st sentence score '6' and '5'. And 3th alinea level of concern '5.3'. Futhermore, pg 6 alinea regarding 'effectiveness of preventive measures' (3.1 versus 2.3). Check also table 2.1 and 2.3. Please use 2 decimal when scores or means are described.
Done (2 decimal) as suggested

Comment: Pg5 'The main health concern issues were (between 7.5 and 8.0) should be (between 7.6 and 8.0), in accordance with figure.
Done as suggested

Comment: Pg 6 under heading 'Precautions taken': 3th alinea. Results of univariate analyses are described in two different tables, for example being female and having been vaccinated are described in table 3, with OR's, CIs and p-value, but perceived severity and vulnerability scores are described in table 2. Unclear why some results of univariate analyses are described in another table. Usually, results of univariate analyses are described in one and the same table.
We have separated frequencies (%) and scores in two distinct tables for easier reading. Moreover, it was difficult to make a single table because the columns were not related (different number of columns in each table).