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The authors have revised the manuscript and have addressed my earlier questions and comments. I thank the authors for their responses. However, I do have a few remaining questions for the authors.

Major compulsory revisions:

1. The authors indicate that the CT and GC were not tested on 12 participants due to logistic/operational issues. This should be clarified in the manuscript in the results section.

2. In Table 1, the authors should show the numbers of FSW for whom registration status was not known.

3. As well, registration status could not be determined on a substantial proportion of women but it was not clear as to why this was the case, or how registration status was determined.

4. The authors discuss regular use of health care services and include this as a variable for analysis but there is no definition as to what is meant by regular use of health care services. Does this only refer to those women registered and attending the fixed clinics? Further, if this is the case and registration status was unknown on such a large proportion of participants, this will have implications in terms of interpretation of findings. Can the authors please clarify?

5. The authors should include in the limitations that there may be some bias with the behavioural data collected as it was a face to face questionnaire.

6. Can the authors reflect on why there was no association between cervicitis or vaginitis and behavioural characteristics?
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