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Reviewer's report:

The manuscript now reads better after corrections to language and grammar.

Minor essential revisions:
Page 6, what do the authors mean by sub-DTC?
Page 8, I was not able to understand what exactly the authors meant by life index record and solvent selection.
Page 12, paragraph 1, the word ‘sensitive’ should be changed to ‘sensitivity’.
Page 12, paragraph 2. The bacteria become resistant to the drug and not vice versa. The sentence should be corrected.
Page 12, paragraph 2. What is meant by bauman acinetobacter?
I did not understand the sentence beginning with ‘with cefepime as the specific control antibiotic’.
Page 14, paragraph 1. I do not think ‘avid’ is the appropriate word.
In the methods section, some more details of the professional training program is required. Who were the professionals trained, how were they trained, what were the topics of the training and how was the training delivered?
References should be written strictly according to the journal’s criteria.
In table 1, the total number of patients involved in cycle A and cycle B can be mentioned in the headings of columns 2 and 3.
The same can also be done for tables 2 and 3.
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