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**Reviewer's report:**

thank you for the explanation of most of my questions/remarks.

I have two remark on the revised paper:

The numbers in table 3 and 4:

- Table 3: 184 /425 IgG positive cannot be 81.4 %; 184 should be 346 (all pos women)
- 81.4% + 4% is not 100 %: 14.6 % missing?
- In table 4 the number of tested samples (pos and neg) do not add up to 425- where are the missing 71 data?
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