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Dear Editor:

Thank you for considering our manuscript. Reviewer 2 suggested this change in the manuscript:

“I would suggest that the authors reword the conclusion to say "This study suggests that bacteria with higher relative abundance scores..." rather than "This study demonstrates.....""

We have incorporated this recommended change into the manuscript, and we have uploaded the latest version of the manuscript to BMC.

If you have any questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to contact me at rhoadsdd@upmc.edu or contact Randy Wolcott at randy@randallwolcott.com.

Thank you for your consideration,

Daniel D. Rhoads, MD, MT(ASCP)CM