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Reviewer’s comments:

The manuscript is now almost ready for publication. I would suggest some minimal changes before its publication.

When expressing the odds ratio for age as dependent variable associated with hospitalization and readmission the authors should specify which increase if age they refer to (for instance, 1 year or 5 year increase, etc...). Specifications have been included

the same for time to recovery odds ratio in logistic regression for readmission (+1 day?)
Yes, the referee is right, +1 day is correct. This has been specified.

The authors should specify the reasons for changes in initial antibiotic treatment (7.1%)
Lack of response was the main reason. This has been added in the text.

Moreover, the authors should also try to justify in the discussion the reasons for pneumococcal inpatients to have higher costs than others etiologies.
A comment has been included in the discussion stating that higher length of time to recovery, comorbidities and higher PSI score are the reasons for it.

Finally, when starting the sentence with "however" or "in addition" add a comma ("However,").
Done