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Reviewer’s report:

The authors report a case of recurrent 6th nerve palsy in a child after two different live vaccines. This is an interesting and rather unusual case report. The authors have summarised the literature well and reached pragmatic conclusions which will be of benefit to the readers, particularly those who might have to manage such a case in the future.

Minor Essential Revisions

Page 7. Near the top, the authors quote that a third of palsies have a neonatal origin - this sentence should be appropriately referenced

Page 7. In the sentence beginning "Therefore, a thorough history..." the recommendation also includes performing MRI scan and a reference a publication from 1970 - did that paper really reference MRI? is there not a more recent publication that the authors can quote?
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