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Author's response to reviews:

Dear Editor,

We wish to thank you for the peer review of our manuscript. Our comments to the review are as follows:

Referee 1:
No comments.

Referee 2:
The authors should clarify in Table 2 the scores obtained by the different articles, according to the criteria studied.

Answer: We have made changes in Table 2 accordingly. We have assigned numbers to all seven questions formulated in Table 1. We used these numbers and added the answer to the questions as Y, N, or ? if the question was satisfactorily answered, not answered or unclear. We have changed Table 3 in the same way.

We found one study (No.8), which was mistakenly classified as having a quality level of good. The score was changed into fair. Paragraphs relating to the number of studies with a good and fair quality score were revised.

All changes in the manuscript have been highlighted with ‘tracked changes’.

Sincerely

Dr. M. Dulon