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2. Are the methods appropriate and well described?..............................YES
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5. Are the discussion and conclusions well balanced and adequately supported by the data?............................................................YES
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This work was excellently written and the data nicely presented. The reader will find it easy to grasp the data presented. This work is great and should be accepted for publication without any changes.
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