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Dear Roxane Rajabi,

Re: Knowledge, attitudes and anxiety towards influenza A/H1N1 vaccination of healthcare workers in Turkey (MS: 5522532703766655).

Here I submit the revised version of our manuscript entitled “Knowledge, attitudes and anxiety towards influenza A/H1N1 vaccination of healthcare workers in Turkey” I have made revisions in accordance with the reviewers’ recommendations. I attached a detailed list of the changes made in response to the comments of the two reviewers. I thanks to the reviewer’s considerate comments.

It would be a great honor and a pleasure for me to have a chance to dedicate my work to your journal again.

I would like to thank you once again for your careful review and close cooperation.

I am looking forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely,

Derya TANRIVERDI

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REVIEWERS’ COMMENTS:</th>
<th>REPLY TO THE REVIEWERS’ COMMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reviewer 1 (Jonathan A McCullers)</strong></td>
<td>I would like to thank you for your careful review. Your comments are very important for us in first manuscript and revised manuscript. Your comments are helpful for us.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1) Abstract, last lines: The sentences, “In the media, publications related to the safety, efficiency of the vaccine and importance of the vaccination should be made. Uncertain data about the vaccine should not be published in the media.” are probably a bit strong as they seem to infringe on principles of freedom of the press. I would prefer that these sentences read something like, “Accurate reporting by the media of the safety and efficacy of influenza vaccines and the</td>
<td>1) It was revised both the abstract and the conclusion.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
importance of vaccines for the public health would likely have a positive influence on vaccine uptake. Uncertain or negative reporting about the vaccine is detrimental to vaccination efforts. “Similar changes could be made at the end of the discussion, although it may be more acceptable to express this opinion in that part of the manuscript – I will leave it to the authors’ discretion.

2) p.2, background, line 7 – change “contacting” to “contracting” 2) It was changed.

**Reviewer 2 (Christos Hadjichristodoulou)**

| I would like to thank you for your careful review. Your comments are important for us. * Some language corrections were done. |

**References 3 (Rosa Prato)**

| I would like to thank you for your careful review Your comments are valuable for us. |