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Reviewer’s report:

Minor essential revisions
1 abstract: list the HPV genotypes studied.
2. Abstract and results section: Mention the Papanicolaou status of women when tested.
3. Page 8, third par and discussion section: regarding multiple infections please specify if you are considering absolute rate of multiple infections (14/225= 6.2%) or relative to the positives (14 out of 51 =27.4%), specially important when comparing with other studies.
4. Table 2: since the reference is higher income, should be interpreted as lower risk among the lower income group. IN the body of the abstract is OK but it is incorrect int its conclusion. ”risk factor of HPV infection ..and poor income”; should say higher income.

Discretionary revisions
1. It would be very interesting to have the numbers of positive for each HPV genotype tested
2. Also present HPV prevalence by Pap results if available.

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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