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Comments:

The authors made corrections I indicated in the "Compulsory revisions" paragraph. Moreover, they explained them satisfactorily in the "Author's response to reviews".

When checking the final manuscript, the authors might consider minor formulation changes of the text:

1. Page 1, Page 2:
   "Case is defined as every patient .."
   might read
   "Case is defined as every adult patient .."
   see page 6, where "... every patient 18 years of age or older ..." is stated.

2. Page 7
   "A physician (RB) ...
   "... a physician (RM) ...

   What the capital letters stand for? Are they a particular person's initials? On page 23, RBM is noted as the person doing the diagnosis. If the letters are really initials, they should be the same, should not they?

3. Page 8
   "... from the regression coefficients ...
   might read "... from the logistic regression coefficients ...

4. Page 10
   "There were no differences ...
   see the Compulsory revision #7
5. Page 11
"There are not differences ..."
see the Compulsory revision #7

6. Page 13
"Some series have shown ..."
Should not it read: "Some studies have shown ..."?

Page 13
"... with a sensitivity and specificity of diagnosis about 79% and 80% respectively. Diagnostic misclassification in the opposite direction is less probable."
What do you mean by this statement? Probability of "diagnostic misclassification in the opposite direction" (to the falsely negative findings discussed in the previous text) is 1-specificity and that is quoted to be about the same as 1-sensitivity.
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