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Reviewer’s report:

The authors have addressed the previous comments.

One minor essential revision is the revision of references numbers in text or reference list, particularly references 17-21. You will see in the text for example that reference 17 on page 6 should be Yin however in the reference list this is reference 18; all these references need to be revised.

A point of clarification, the request to number quotations was not clearly explained. I suggested that the authors assign numbers to each participant, eg Participant 1, Participant 2, etc. Then in the text use these at the end of quotes to indicate to the reader who was the source for each quote, eg quote (Participant 2). this tells the reader that not all quotes used were from only a few participants.
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