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To the editor of
BMC Geriatrics

Concerns: Paper for publication in BMC Geriatrics,

Dear Professor Irene Pala,

Please find attached the minor editing revisions for the paper “Towards personalised integrated dementia care: a qualitative study on facilitators and barriers to the implementation of two case management models”.

We corrected the revised paper based on the comments of the editor.

Editing issue 1:
RATS Guidelines.
In accordance with BioMed Central editorial policies (http://www.biomedcentral.com/about/editorialpolicies#StandardsofReporting), could you please ensure your manuscript reporting adheres to RATS guidelines (http://www.biomedcentral.com/authors/rats) for reporting qualitative studies. This is so your methodology can be fully evaluated and utilised. Can you please include a completed RATS checklist as an additional file when submitting your revised manuscript.

Reply authors:
We have added the following text to page 8 (analysis):
“Our study adheres to the RATS guidelines on reporting qualitative research.”

Editing issue 2:
By way of a section ?Acknowledgements?, please acknowledge anyone who contributed towards the article by making substantial contributions to conception, design, acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data, or who was involved in drafting the manuscript or revising it critically for important intellectual content, but who does not meet the criteria for authorship. Please also include the source(s) of funding for each author, and for the manuscript preparation. Authors must describe the role of the funding body, if any, in design, in the collection, analysis, and interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; and in the decision to submit the manuscript for publication. Please also acknowledge anyone who contributed materials essential for the study. If a language editor has made significant revision of the manuscript, we recommend that you acknowledge the editor by name, where possible.
Reply authors:
We have added the following acknowledgement section to the manuscript:

“Acknowledgements
The authors would like to thank Elisa de Koning for contributing to the independent analyzes of the transcripts and inserting them into Atlas-ti. This study and all authors were funded by the National Programme Elderly Care (ZonMw). Additional funding was received from Vita Valley for Prof R.M. Dröes. ZonMw nor Vita Valley contributed to the study design or manuscript preparation.”

Sincerely,

Lisa van Mierlo, MSc