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Comments from Editor (Date: 6 November 2012)

The introduction is 4 and 1/2 pages long; I recommend shortening to 3 pages maximum. The result section is 2 pages long and the discussion section is ten pages long including many results details. I recommend a discussion section in 5 or 6 pages. The changes recommended by the reviewers are not done on this point and negatively impact the interest of your data. When a paper is too long it is difficult to read it. Please, we need precise results and an introduction and a discussion essentially focused on your study which is a valuable one.

Thank you for your suggestions to make the paper more readable. We have shortened the introduction by a page and shortened the discussion section to 6.5 pages. We hope this addresses any concerns.