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Reviewer's report:

The authors responded to most of the comments, but the following points should be checked and may be improved.

a. P2 L12: The meaning of the next sentence is not clear. ‘Individuals were about the presence of chronic illnesses that had been diagnosed by a physician.’

b. P2 L19: The meaning of the next sentence is not clear. ‘Majority if older people…’ Does it mean ‘majority of…’?

c. P3 L6: The first statement in the conclusion is ‘unmet daily needs remain for older people.’ This conclusion seems to be drawn from the authors’ expectation before starting the research rather than based on the results. In the results section, opposite evidence seemed to be more emphasized, such as: the majority had no need of support for each of the specific ADL items; over three-fifths of those who needed help received enough support in all ADL dimensions. What should be the most important conclusions which are supported by the results of this study and meet the objectives of this study?

d. P5 L19 and other pages: The term ‘subject’ may be changed to ‘participant’ or ‘respondent.’

e. P8 Table 2 and 3: In Table 2, nearly 90% of the elderly responded ‘no need’ for support. In Table 3, again nearly 90% of the elderly responded they had enough support. Does it make sense? Those who do not need support seem to receive enough support. Is this interpretation correct? Or, they do not need ‘extra support’ because they have already received ‘enough support’?

f. P10 L6: In the response sheet, the authors mentioned that ‘the statement that “over one third of those who need help do not receive enough support” is revised as….. However, the beginning sentence in the discussion still uses the similar expression.

g. P10 L22: ‘Young-old ages’ and ‘middle-old ages’ are not defined in the methods.

h. The discussion seems to be unnecessarily too long. Each paragraph should be discussed based on the unique findings of this research.

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field
Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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