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Reviewer's report:

The revisions to the paper have enhanced the work but I would still like some clarification of the following points.

1. You need to add the number of subjects into the abstract.

2. There is no statement in the discussion that would reflect acceptance or rejection of the hypothesis that was added page 5 lines 102,103. This could be added to the discussion after the first sentence to support the strong statement it contains.

3. It was still not clear to me whether participants received any therapy in addition to the Wii. Nor was there any comment regarding the short intervention time [25 minutes session would likely only include about 15 minutes of actual Wii participation owing to the time it takes to move between games] to therapeutic outcome. I agree that 6 sessions is minimal for familiarity. Your discussion point of ‘veil of experience’ suggested that the subjects had ‘experience’ of usual practice. Hence my need to know how they could compare interventions.

Otherwise I am happy with your amendments.
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