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Reviewer's report:

The revised manuscript has improved a great deal, and the authors have addressed all issues raised in my review. There are a few Discretionary Revisions suggested below.

Discretionary Revisions
1. Abstract – suggest rewording the 1st sentence of Results to clarify the findings, as the use of “best” and “worst” is unclear.
2. Methods – suggest using “had normal colour vision” rather than “colour normal”.
3. Discussion – suggest removing “e.g.” from the first sentence.
4. Table 1 – calculating the SD of Snellen acuity is problematic (hence why logMAR visual acuity is most commonly reported in the literature). As there is no variability in the numerator component, only report the SD of the denominator.
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