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Reviewer's report:

Selection criteria: respondents are described as senior citizens in this qualitative study. In fact they are senior general practice attendees. It is recommended to change this description of respondents in a study population subtitle.

Results: Themes and categories of this article are nearly the same as the items of the topic list of the semi-structured scenario. What came as a surprise, what are hidden elements, which cannot be explored by the quantitative method, what is an added value of this qualitative research?

Discussion: The reviewer would appreciate if the authors were able to explain what are the weaknesses in a case when a preliminary classification is made after 4 interviews?

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.