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Dear editor,

This is the new revised version of the submitted article “Grey scale enhancement by a new self-made contrast agent in early cirrhotic stage of rabbit liver”. According to the opinions of PH.D John H Kalbfleisch, stage summary statistics for the comparisons indicated in the current Table 3, which is the statistics about contrast agent intensity is added as new Table 3 shows. The specific method of the statistical method is GLM-ANOVA and the Tukey-pairwise-comparison procedure by SPSS 13.0 software. According to the design of this study, the ANOVA must have a stage factor and an animal factor. Further, because some animals contribute to only a few stages, the ANOVA would be performed by GLM accounting for both stage and animal factors, which was mentioned clearly in Statistical Analysis section. The section of Statistical Analysis was made corresponding changes. The point-to-point response to PH.D John H Kalbfleisch is as followed.

We sincerely hope the article could be published and share our findings with other researchers.

Thank you very much!

Yours sincerely,

Zhang Li
Point-to-point response to John H Kalbfleisch

The big issue I have is with the statistical analysis. The authors are still vague about their analysis details. Publication of the manuscript would be acceptable if the authors address these aspects.

1) mention the specific kind of analysis of variance that was used,
-----The specific kind of analysis of variance we used in the study is GLM-ANOVA and the Tukey-pairwise-comparison procedure by SPSS 13.0 software. The method is mentioned in the Statistical Analysis section.

2) indicate why that choice is appropriate for the design of their study in which 12 animals were measured at different study conditions, and
----- According to the design of this study, the ANOVA must have a stage factor and an animal factor. Further, because some animals contribute to only a few stages, the ANOVA would be performed by GLM accounting for both stage and animal factors, which was mentioned clearly in Statistical Analysis section.

3) give stage summary statistics for the comparisons indicated in the current Table 3 (as the combination of Table 1 and Table 2 go together).

----- Table 3 PSI of the contrast agent in animal model at different stage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Liver fibrosis stage</th>
<th>PSI of PV</th>
<th>PSI of parenchyma</th>
<th>PSI difference of PV and parenchyma</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F0</td>
<td>-26.75±1.09</td>
<td>-32.24±0.89</td>
<td>6.09±1.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1</td>
<td>-27.45±0.35</td>
<td>-32.71±0.46</td>
<td>5.40±0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2</td>
<td>-27.88±1.35</td>
<td>-33.19±0.51</td>
<td>5.00±1.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3</td>
<td>-28.89±2.72</td>
<td>-37.34±0.69</td>
<td>7.12±1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F4</td>
<td>-27.46±0.22</td>
<td>-41.08±0.86</td>
<td>13.62±0.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Values are Mean ±SD