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Reviewer’s report:

General
This is a well-written retrospective comparative analysis of colonoscopy completion rates in the morning and in the afternoon.

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)
The authors should incorporate the article by Sanaka et al. instead of the abstract (reference 17), which has been previously published in the Am J Gastroenterol. in 12/2006.

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

What next?: Accept after minor essential revisions

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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