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Reviewer's report:

General

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

1. Define the abbreviation "CCS" the first time you use it.

2. Page 8, next to last sentence: By "a high rate of non-responders is anyhow amenable in this kind of cross-sectional survey" do the authors mean that the high rate of non-responders is common in this kind of survey?

3. Table 1: colonoscopies are not included in this table and the abbreviation can be removed from the footnote.

4. Table 2: the footnote numbers are wrong. All footnote numbers throughout the text should be checked.

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

1. The authors should consider adding that, in addition to detecting early stage cancers, screening can detect pre-cancerous polyps which can be removed, thereby preventing cancer.

What next?: Accept after minor essential revisions

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: No
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