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RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS

The authors wish to thank the reviewers for the insightful comments that helped to improve the quality of our manuscript. Each criticism (compulsory and discretionary revisions) has been addressed below in a point-by-point fashion

Reviewer: Jerome B B Simon

1. In the revised version a photo with the findings of barium enema has been included
2. Although an endoscopic photo is available its quality is not good enough for to be included in the manuscript
3. We describe now in details the findings of the initial histology
4. Your suggestion has been accepted
5. Indeed CT and barium enema didn't help in the diagnosis. We clarify that in the revision.
6. Figure 2 has been omitted
7. The mentioned errors have been corrected

Reviewer: Tatsuya Harada

1. In the revised version we discuss in details the similar and different findings of this case comparec to typical colon cancer
2. The choice of GnRH-a analogue for treatment of endometriosis is now clarified
3. The term "dysmenorrhea" is now clarified
4. We think that the patient's mild inflammatory status is not specific and it could be attributed even in the stress of the patient during the admission in the hospital
5. We accepted your suggestion
6. The time of menstrual cycle in relation to the examinations is now provided
7. Other tumor markers are now provided as suggested
8. The details of laparoscopic findings are provided in the revised version
9. The gynecological findings are shown as suggested
10. In the revised version a photo with the findings of barium enema has been included
11. The levels of CA 125 after treatment are now provided
12. Our thought about the way of bowel involvement has been added in the discussion