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Editor
BMC Gastroenterology

Re: Paper re-submittal after revision for publication in BMC Gastroenterology
(ID – 1616912847135649)

We re-submit a revised version of the paper “Esophageal and Small Bowel Obstruction by Occupational Bezoar: Report of a Case” by M Pitiakoudis, AK Tsaroucha, K Mimidis, Th Constantinidis, S Anagnostoulis, G Stathopoulos and C Simopoulos. We would appreciate if you have it checked again for publication, as a case report, in BMC Gastroenterology.

In revising the manuscript we have addressed ALL points suggested by the reviewer. We believe all comments were fair and have improved our manuscript. We thank the reviewer for his effort. A list of addressed comments follows this letter.

Please address all future correspondence to Alexandra Tsaroucha in the following address:

Alexandra K. Tsaroucha
11 Kapnergaton Str.
67100 Xanthi, Greece
Tel./Fax: +30-25410-78113, +30-6944-468515
E-mail: tsihrin@otenet.gr

Thank you very much for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Alexandra K. Tsaroucha, MD, PhD
Lecturer of Surgery
REPLY TO REVIEWER COMMENTS

—
Title: Esophageal and Small Bowel Obstruction by Occupational Bezoar: Report of a Case
Authors: Michail Pitiakoudis, Alexandra K Tsaroucha, Konstantinos Mimidis, Theodoros Constantinidis, Stavros Anagnostoulis, George Stathopoulos, Constandinos Simopoulos
Advice on publication: Accept after discretionary revisions
Interesting case report!
MAJOR COMMENT:
The authors suggested in their concluding paragraph the avoidance of 'certain kinds of fibre'. Which kinds of fibre? Please specify.

AGREE with the reviewer. The following was added in the concluding paragraph. “…patients who have undergone a previous gastric surgery should avoid certain kinds of fiber in their diet (e.g., fruit, usually citrus, and vegetable fibers), and are advised to take protective measures against similar occupational exposures (e.g., wood dust).”
MINOR COMMENTS:
The following alterations are suggested to improve the English and readability of the article:
- Background-Last sentence: Intestinal obstruction .... "has" (instead of 'have') not been reported.
AGREE, THE REVISION WAS MADE.
Case Presentation-Third sentence: 'He had undergone a vagotomy and pyloroplasty 10 years earlier.'

AGREE, THE REVISION WAS MADE.
- CASE PRESENTATION:
- First sentence: complained "of" (instead of 'about')

AGREE, THE REVISION WAS MADE.
- First paragraph-Last sentence: vagotomy and pyloroplasty'. (instead of 'pyloroplasty and vagotomy')
AGREE, THE REVISION WAS MADE.
Second paragraph-Third sentence: Vomiting continued and the dysphagia worsened.
AGREE, THE REVISION WAS MADE.
The patient then underwent an upper gastrointestinal endoscopy (using Olympus GIF-V2, Olympus Optical Co. Ltd., Tokyo, Japan), which showed a foreign body (dimensions: 1.5cm long, 2.8cm in diameter), which was then endoscopically removed using a dormia basket (Fig. 1).
- Third paragraph- 1st sentence: delete 'so he was kept in the hospital for further examination'.
AGREE, THE REVISION WAS MADE.


AGREE, THE REVISION WAS MADE.
The remainder of the 3rd paragraph may read better as follows: 'He was then transferred to the surgical team, where failure of a few days of conservative treatment necessitated an exploratory laparotomy. The laparotomy revealed a complete distal ileal obstruction secondary to a solid intraluminal foreign body (dimensions ...), which was removed through an enterotomy (Figs. 3 and 4). Pathological examination of the foreign body revealed a composition similar to that removed from the esophagus (Fig. 2b). The patient was discharged on the 8th postoperative day, and has remained well at 18 months follow up.'

AGREE, THE REVISION WAS MADE.
- DISCUSSION:
- 1st paragraph-Line 6: 'into' the bowel, rather than 'in' the bowel.
AGREE, THE REVISION WAS MADE.

- The remainder of the 1st paragraph may better read as follows: 'Obstruction from phytobezoars usually occurs in the ileum or jejunum, and it is unusual for reverse migration of the bezoar to the esophagus to occur and result in obstruction as occurred in our patient [5,6]. Some of the pathogenic mechanisms responsible for bezoar formation in patients with previous vagotomy and pyloroplasty include decreased secretion of hydrochloric acid, decreased gastric motility (atonic stomach), and occupational exposure [1,2,4].'

AGREE, THE REVISION WAS MADE.

- 2nd paragraph - 1st & 2nd sentences might read better as follows: 'Whilst bezoars located in the esophagus or stomach should be treated conservatively in the first instance [3], those causing acute intestinal obstruction clearly require surgical intervention [1,3,4].'

AGREE, THE REVISION WAS MADE.

- Page 6, line 21: while the rest "migrated" from the stomach ...

AGREE, THE REVISION WAS MADE.
- Page 6, Line 22: replace 'moved to the' with 'and into' ...
AGREE, THE REVISION WAS MADE.

- Page 7-Line 3: Delete the first sentence of the paragraph 'This is a rare case ...... as this has been stated earlier in the Discussion.

AGREE, THE REVISION WAS MADE.
- Page 7, Line 8: 'workers' instead of 'worker'.
AGREE, THE REVISION WAS MADE.
- Page 7, Line 1: replace 'also' with 'are advised to'.
AGREE, THE REVISION WAS MADE.