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Reviewer's report:

This is an interesting retrospective report on the 'real-life' situation in children with CD after EEN.
I only have some minor remarks (Minor Essential Revisions):

1) are the groups (MEN+azathioprine; MEN only, azathioprine only, nothing) comparable in age, sex or disease location or nutritional status? in order to evaluate the findings this would be important to know. Do the authors have any data on why parents/children chose to continue with the respective treatment?

2) how was intake evaluated retrospectively? did parents/children keep diaries, or was it merely estimated at follow up visits?

3) the discussion is somewhat lengthy and appears slightly repetitive.
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