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Author's response to reviews:

Reviewer: Masataka MS Seike

Reviewer's report:
The manuscript has been revised well. I think this manuscript will be acceptable after some corrections have been done.

Author's response:
Thanks Dr. Seike for your review again.

Major Compulsory Revisions

1. In Abstract(Result), the sentence which “The corresponding absolute risk reductions in participants taking Vitamin K were -0.13 (95%CI, -0.32 to -0.06) for recurrence of hepatocellular carcinoma at 1 year” is confusing, since this result has not been defined in the Result.

Author's response:
To remove the confusion, we have removed this sentence altogether from the abstract. Thanks for highlighting this confusion.

2. The authors use mixed the both “vitamin K” and “vitamin K2” throughout the manuscript. I think better to replace the word "vitamin K" with "vitamin K2".

Author's response:
We have now used "Vitamin K2" throughout the manuscript for consistency.

Minor Essential Revisions
1. There are some typographical errors and inappropriate signs as comma, period, parentheses e.t.c, throughout the manuscript. Please check them.

Author's response:
We have gone through the manuscript again and tried our best to address the typographical errors.