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Reviewer's report:

Major Compulsory Revisions
I believe that this is a retrospective study where patients were enrolled to Cholecystomucoclasis or standard cholecystectomy on the basis of intraoperative findings. There is therefore a selection bias but I think that this is consistent with the aim of the study (to understand if Cholecystomucoclasis may be offered in complex cases instead of cholecystectomy) so it doesn't damage the value of the paper

Minor Essential Revision
I would suggest the authors to discuss the role of ERCP in treating biliary fistula in patients treated both by standard cholecystectomy and Cholecystomucoclasis

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.