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Author's response to reviews:

The Editor-in-Chief
BMC gastroenteology

Dear to the Editor:

We revised our manuscript entitled "Primary Placement Technique of Jejunostomy Using the EntristarTM Skin-Level Gastrostomy Tube in Patients with Esophageal Cancer." for publication in your esteemed journal.

I hope that you will see fit to publish this paper in your journal, and we will not hesitate to correct the paper if you have suggestions for its improvement.

Our mailing address is as follows:
Yasushi Rino, M.D.,
Department of Surgery, School of Medicine, Yokohama City University,
Department of Surgery,
School of Medicine,
Yokohama City University,
3-9, Fukuura, Kanazawa-ku,
Yokohama City, 236-0004, Japan

Yours sincerely,
Yasushi Rino, M.D.
Reviewer’s report
Title: Primary Placement Technique of Jejunostomy Using the Entristar
Skin-Level Gastrostomy Tube in Patients with Esophageal Cancer.
Version: 4 Date: 29 November 2010
Reviewer: Charles Y Kim
Reviewer’s report:
The authors have done a good job revising the manuscript in response to the
initial stated issues. The topic is an important one, which I do feel adds
significantly to the body of related literature. However, I feel that the grammar
and spelling still needs to be improved prior to publication. For example, in the
first page:
The grammar of the title needs to be corrected – the use of the term jejunostomy
is not correct in this sentence.
ABSTRACT:
The use of the term “skin-level jejunostomy procedure” could be more accurately
described as a “skin-level jejunostomy tube procedure” or “skin-level transjejunal
feeding tube procedure.” Simply stating ‘jejunostomy’ is confusing because the
term is more commonly used to describe a loop jejunostomy.
The first sentence in the Materials and Methods section is not a sentence, it is a
sentence fragment. (there is no verb).
Jejunostomy techniques: such a detailed description is not customarily present in
an abstract. “A purse string suture of one stitch” is grammatically incorrect. A
suggestion would be “A single purse string suture”…
Results: The first sentence should clarify that the “skin-level G-tube” was
successfully inserted into the jejunum. In the last sentence, it should read “There
were no cases of obstruction of the tube OR procedure-related death.” The word
‘and’ was incorrectly used instead of ‘or’.
Discussion. This is customarily titled “Conclusion” or “Summary”. The use of the
word ‘that’ is inappropriately used. The word ‘and’ would be a better choice of
words.
There are more grammatical and spelling errors in the rest of the document. It
may be helpful to hire a professional manuscript editor to correct these. I do hope
you pursue these grammatical changes, because it will make the article much
more helpful and readable, and I do hope to see your manuscript published. 
Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests
Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published
Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.

We revised in red letters in the TEXT. For example, skin-level jejunostomy (SLJ) was changed to skin-level jejunostomy tube (SLJT).
Native check was done again.