Minor essential revisions
1. The part Results is almost not readable. There must be more figures to show the several subgroups of your patients and their results.
2. The figures must show the subgroups (kryptoglandular, Rectovaginal fistulas, IBD etc), their treatment, the results, follow up and complications.
3. Loose seton was the only treatment in 40.7% of the patients. Please show their success rates, mean follow up etc, because this was your most common treatment.
4. If your success rate with only loose seton and removal of the seton is quite high, why and when did you use advanced flaps.
5. How was the outcome of the nine patients with rectovaginal fistulas?
6. How many surgeons operated on these patients?
7. In the 6 patients with fecal incontinence, which procedure was done?
8. All patients had incontinence scores before surgery. DO you have the scores after surgery? If so, please show them.
9. When did you use further diagnostics (ultrasound, MRI etc.)
10. How was the outcome of the "cutting seton patients", esp. regarding pain and incontinence rates?
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