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Reviewer's report:

Thank you for the opportunity to review this manuscript. Overall, the authors were responsive to the reviewers' suggestions and areas of comment. I have two overall suggestions related to this new revision. 1) Please accept all track changes and then highlight any edits with italics or underline function. The tables are not readable with track changes. 2) Please have a native English speaker read and edit the manuscript. The prior version had a few scattered areas that were problematic. The manuscript now is difficult to follow without extensive editing.

--I would be willing to review again, but as of now I found the manuscript very difficult to read and follow because of the combination of these two points.

Minor essential revisions:

a) Remove the quantitative comment in the methods section of the abstract and remove the quantitative statistical analysis described in the methods. This is a qualitative paper (not even a mixed methods paper) and you should leave out the quantitative elements except in perhaps your demographics paper. But comparisons here are strictly for descriptive purposes.

b) The transitions in the introduction need to be better linked. The authors have been responsive to the reviewers but the transitions between paragraphs are difficult to follow.

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Not suitable for publication unless extensively edited

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.