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Reviewer's report:

Major Compulsory Revisions

Major points
1. The grammar in the manuscript has to be edited extensively for publication.
2. A more detailed description of selective angiography is needed. Was contrast observed passing from the cystic duct to the CBD? During embolization, which branch was the endocoil placed? Angiographic findings following embolization, are also needed.
3. The discussion is long and general description of hemobilia is not needed, especially the first part.
4. The authors should explain the possible mechanism of hemobilia occurred in the first place, and if there is a way to prevent it from occurring, during surgery.
5. There were no figure legends. Add figure legends.

Minor points
1. Correct spelling a lot of words.
   In abstract,
   Line 3, but Acute… -> but acute
   Line 4, is yet not described. -> is not yet described.
   Line 5, We present. -> We presented
   Line 5, a 32 years old female, -> a 32-year-old female
   Line 10, pseudoanevrism -> pseudoaneurysm
2. In Case report,
   Amilase --> amylase
   Amilase, Lipase are need a unit and change to amylase, lipase
   eozophagus --> esophagus
   Contrast enhanced abdominal tomography --> abdominal computerized tomography
   cathater --> catheter
   ‘ductus choledochus’ means the sphincter of bile duct, and so you have to
change to “common bile duct”.
In last line of ‘Case report’. remaining # remant
3. In conclusion,
In first line of last paragraph,
… and Acute pancreatitis…. --># and acute pancreatitis…
4. If possible endoscopy figures of bleeding directly from the papillary orifice should be added and replace the figure 1.
5. In figure 2, there are too many CT films. The 3rd and 4th CT findings are enough in this manuscript.
6. Figures of selective angiography should be reduced. Figure 4 is not needed.
7. Remove the figure 7.

**Level of interest:** An article of importance in its field

**Quality of written English:** Not suitable for publication unless extensively edited

**Statistical review:** No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.

**Declaration of competing interests:**

'I declare that I have no competing interests’