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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear Sir,

Below, we presented point to point response to the reviewer. We send a revised manuscript to you. All the other necessary information is seen below.

We believe that we changed our paper according to your reviewer’s suggestions except for a few ones. As the reviewer asked us to do, we shortened the text as much as we can. We appreciate your help and thank you for your understanding.

I would like to learn if I can do anything further

Thank you

Yucel Ustundag

Author’s response to reviewer 1:

Reviewer’s report:

1-Reduce the text and be more focused on what you think is the theme of this paper.

We reduced the text (abstract, introduction, results, discussion sections)

2-Reduce introduction and rephrase it.

We did it as seen in the revised version (2nd) of the paper.

3-Results section is too far long and confusing-Draw a sketch showing ectopic drainage of bile duct

We shortened it as much as we could. In figures (both endoscopic and ERCP images), we clearly delineated ectopic drainage of bile ducts. We believe that a
sketch would not be more demonstrative than these images. Furthermore, ectopic biliary drainage can occur at any place in the duodenum or stomach so that we can not indicate it in one sketch.

4-Description of findings may be considerably reduced by referring to the tables

We did it.

5-Discussion is exhaustive and needs drastic reduction in size

We shortened discussion as much as we can. However, we believe that we have to give all the necessary information about this anomaly since this is scarce information in the literature and this paper is also a short review of the consistent literature. Furthermore, as you indicated in your previous revision, we started the discussion with definition of ectopic biliary drainage, the incidence of this anomaly, comparative analysis with other studies. We also presented a part of etiology, clinical and demographic features, presentation and related factors for causing these features, diagnostic tools and treatment modalities in cases with ectopic biliary drainage. We also used headings and subheadings in the text as you suggested to us. By this way, we believe that a systematic description of various features is present in this paper.

-English language needs editing

English language editing was made by ‘International Science Editing’ as the editor suggested us.

We believe that we changed our paper according to your suggestions except for a few ones. We appreciate your help and hope for your understanding.

Thank you

Kind regards