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Reviewer’s report:

General
The paper has been extensively revised in accordance with the comments which I made in my review. I do not have any additional comments. The paper now reads very well.

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)
Nil

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)
Nil

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

What next?: Accept without revision

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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